

From: [Peter G. Sherman](#)
To: [Wilbourne, Kim 9083](#)
Cc: [Nicolene Martley](#)
Subject: RE: [External] 2025 9% application scoring
Date: Wednesday, July 23, 2025 11:16:47 AM

Good Morning,

As you know we have submitted 4 tax credit applications for our aging public housing so far and keep falling short (mainly to Columbia). Can you suggest any language changes to the QAP that will help? It seems to me that the historic shopping district on King Street should qualify under Shopping so perhaps add that to "big box store, mall, retail strip, **historic shopping district**"

Any chance our public housing properties and the Charleston County Housing Authority could have our public housing properties scored under "General New Construction" as opposed to "High Demand New Construction"?

Could points could be added for distance to University?

Thanks,

Peter G. Sherman
Director of Development
Housing Authority of the City of Charleston
550 Meeting Street
Charleston, SC 29403



From: Wilbourne, Kim 9083 <kim.wilbourne@schousing.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2025 9:58 AM
To: Peter G. Sherman [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: 2025 9% application scoring

Peter,

The preliminary point scores by category are posted on our website – SCHousing.org Meeting Street Manor did not receive 6 points in the Amenities category as Family Dollar was used for both Shopping and Retail.

Thanks,
Kim

From: Peter G. Sherman [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2025 8:34 AM
To: Wilbourne, Kim 9083 <kim.wilbourne@schousing.com>
Cc: Nicolene Martley [REDACTED]
Subject: [External] 2025 9% application scoring

Good Morning,

Please send us a detail of our scoring for the Meeting Street Manor Extension 9% tax credit application.

Thanks,

Peter G. Sherman
Director of Development
Housing Authority of the City of Charleston
550 Meeting Street
Charleston, SC 29403
[REDACTED]



This email has been scanned for spam and viruses by Proofpoint Essentials. Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.